***Stage 2 Legal Studies***

***Assessment Type 2: Inquiry (30%)***

***Task Description:***

In this assessment you pose and respond to a question. The question arises from your investigation into a legal issue of your choice.

The issue must:

* Be recent. It should have been in the media or in public awareness in the last 12 months
* Linked to the Stage 2 Legal Studies Curriculum (a Focus or Option Area)
* Reflect at least one set of competing tensions from the SACE subject outline
	+ *NB – your question doesn’t need to include the names of the tensions, but a discussion and analysis of the tensions should be evident throughout your task.*

Your presentation is a critical response to the question that you have posed.

***Presentation:***

Your Inquiry may be presented in one of the following ways:

1. A written report, with a maximum word count of 2,000 words
2. An oral/multimodal presentation. This can be up to a maximum of 12 minutes
3. A combination of each of these elements

**Suggested Structure:**

**Introduction**

Introduce the question that you are addressing

Include a brief overview of your legal issue and its current legal status.

Define all key terms (as appropriate)

**Body**

**Arguments For/Against**

Consider arguments on both sides of your legal issue to ensure depth/perspective taking in your analysis.

This section should include the following (where possible/relevant):

* References to relevant legislation/bills
* Personal interviews
* Academic articles / University studies
* News articles

How is the competing tension evident in the analysis?

**Conclusion/Recommendations**

Summarise your findings and key points and make recommendations based on them.

Have you resolved/addressed the question?

***Assessment***

You are being assessed against each of the performance standards. Please see overleaf.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| - | Understanding and Application | Analysis and Evaluation | Communication |
| A | Perceptive understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.Astute application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.Thorough and focused research to select, apply, and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Perceptive analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.Insightful evaluation of arguments or issues to reach a convincing conclusion and/or make informed recommendations. | Clear and effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| B | Substantial understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.Proficient application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.Well-informed research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Well-considered analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.Well-considered evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a mostly convincing conclusion and/or make mostly informed recommendations. | Mostly clear and effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| C | Sound understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.Generally informed application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.Adequate research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Adequate analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.Sound evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a conclusion and/or make recommendations. | Generally effective communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| D | Some understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.Partial application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.Some research to select and appropriately acknowledge a range of relevant sources. | Some analysis and evaluation of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.Partial evaluation of legal arguments or issues to reach a conclusion and/or make recommendations. | Some communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |
| E | Limited understanding of the ways that the legal system balances competing tensions.Limited application of legal principles and processes to specific contexts.Rudimentary research, selection, and acknowledgement of a range of sources. | Limited analysis of concepts, legal principles, processes, or problems.Limited consideration of legal arguments or issues to reach a vague or unsubstantiated conclusion and/or recommendation. | Limited communication of legal concepts, opinions, and arguments. |